

From: Anastasia Mann
Subject: Fwd: FORC's MOU
Date: July 23, 2016 at 7:11 AM JST
To: Catherine Landers catherine.landern@lacity.org

As a back up to what I said to you, here is the message I sent to Don as an individual- not on behalf of HHWNC, obviously. That's as outspoken as I have been. I've been attempting to broker peace, but here he went to far.

I put in writing what he said - for the record.

All I'm asking is that you understand what's going on here. It's more than the idiotic basketball court. That's just a symptom. Relationships have been damaged.

Thanks for your time this morning.

Anastasia

Anastasia Mann
Chairman/CEO



8721 W Sunset Blvd, Ste 200
West Hollywood, CA 90069

Main
Fax:

CST: 2017857-10

Travel, Meeting & Entertainment Management

www.corniche.com • www.cornicheentertainment.com • www.anastasiasafrica.com • www.sofisticado.com

24 x 7 x 365 • After Hours Assistance •

Begin forwarded message:

From: Anastasia
Date: July 17, 2016 at 1:21:33 PM PDT
To: Don
Cc: Jeffery
Subject: Re: FORC's MOU

Don, I am stunned that you wrote this to Jeff.

I received no fewer than three copies of your email claiming CPR was telling lies etc asking for emails to be sent to Jeff and even providing wording.

That's fine that you did that, but to accuse others of lies (unsubstantiated, I might add) and then blatantly lie yourself makes no sense and indicates exactly what you and I discussed: you are either naive or - as you so defiantly called CD4 & RAP - amateur.

This is where you are missing the boat. You are not transparent. You are being manipulative. I am furious that you sent this to Jeff. He is trying to do a very sincere and unbiased job. And he did a great job last week.

You had only TWO people show up in your behalf, one of whom was Josh. And the other was a lady who kept taking throughout (sitting next to me) complaining about every speaker and was nearly as bad as the one unfortunate last speaker on the CPR side. Name calling is not acceptable other than due to freedom of speech. She was very rude.

Now this. Have some manhood here, Don. What a shame that Josh stood up for you with such dignity and humility. He is a gentleman. Perhaps you should pass the gavel to him. He is a man of integrity. I am totally disappointed in your attempt to manipulate Jeff in this way.

I'm writing this to you as an individual - not as president of HHWNC. Although it may very well be my duty to share your actions with them as well as others within the city.

No one - no one does something as blatantly ridiculous as this. Did you really think all those people you begged and tricked into writing letters would keep your correspondence private?

Good grief. Once again your lack of judgment is stunning. None of this would have ever happened if you had used proper, professional judgment and common sense. You have thoroughly tainted your organization. Shame on you.

Anastasia

name: Anastasia Mann
title: Chairman/CEO
email:
direct:

On Jul 17, 2016, at 10:00 AM, Don

/rote:

Hi Jeff, thanks for your email – we appreciate your transparency.

But once again, a statement of the truth is in order.

It is important to clarify, FORC did NOT send out an email blast as suggested by Bob. The emails you received were from a few people that wished to express their opinion when they heard about the meeting, but could not attend. Most people in the community were not aware of the meeting.

As you know, we are a volunteer fundraising foundation, not a politically oriented organization. Our support comes in many forms – but not from email blasts. Email blasts which cite mistruths and misleading information are inappropriate. I have asked CPR to tell us what concerns they have with the MOU, and have heard nothing. Instead CPR has chosen to do an email blast with their concern for the word “enhancement”. This is hardly a way to work together for the betterment of Runyon. FORC would be more than happy to clarify “enhancement” if indeed that is their concern with the MOU.

I am sending to all parties involved since that didn't seem to happen in the email below.

Thanks for conducting the meeting – I will be following-up with you on other FORC Foundation items.

Hope all is well,

Don

Don

From: Jeffery
Sent: Saturday, July 16, 2016 12:50 PM
To: Don
Subject: Fwd: FORC's MOU

Hi Don,

I mention you by name below and wanted to share this with you as well. As you can see I'm trying to keep up with responses as much as possible.

Best,

Jeff

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeffery
Date: July 15, 2016 at 10:08:23 PM PDT
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: FORC's MOU

Bob,

Thank you for your kind comments and support. It was a collective effort - We all did a good job!

With Anastasia in copy on your request, I would reason that there is nothing inappropriate in providing a count. Currently, as of this evening, Friday, July 15th, the individual stakeholder emails requests for the termination of FORC's MOU are almost 2:1 to stakeholder emails in support of FORC's MOU remaining in place. If I count both your request and Don Andres's request 41 have requested FORC's MOU termination and 23 have supported a postponement on any decision /keeping FORC's MOU in place. I am not counting when the same person emails more than one time (which has happened in several instances).

In all fairness, I should share this information with Don as well.

Sincerely,

Jeff

Jeffery Masino

Parks and Open Space Chair



From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2016 11:35:00 AM
To: Jeffery I
Cc:
Subject: FORC's MOU

Jeff:

You did a great job in the meeting last night. Cheryl commented to me that even when the meeting was getting a bit raucous, you regained order with your calm demeanor and big smile. Good work.

The purpose of this email is to request an account of how many emails you received supporting FORC's MOU versus how many emails you received requesting termination of FORC's MOU. Prior to your meeting, CPR sent out an email blast asking its supporters to email you supporting the termination of the MOU. FORC also sent out an email blast asking its supporters to send you an email opposing termination of the MOU. While these are not "votes" this is a good way to measure community support of FORC versus community opposition to FORC.

If it is appropriate to disclose this information, please let me know the numbers.

Robert

Telephone
Facsimile:

From: Catherine Landers catherine.landiers@lacity.org
Subject: Fwd: FORC's MOU
Date: July 23, 2016 at 8:46 AM JST
To: Estevan Montemayor estevan.montemayor@lacity.org

COUNCILMEMBER • DISTRICT 4
DAVID RYU
SERVING OUR NEIGHBORHOODS

Catherine Landers
Senior Field Deputy
[Los Angeles City Councilmember David Ryu](#)
Office: 323.957.6415
<http://www.davidryu.com/>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Anastasia
Date: Fri, Jul 22, 2016 at 3:11 PM
Subject: Fwd: FORC's MOU
To: Catherine Landers <catherine.landiers@lacity.org>

As a back up to what I said to you, here is the message I sent to Don as an individual- not on behalf of HHWNC, obviously. That's as outspoken as I have been. I've been attempting to broker peace, but here he went to far.

I put in writing what he said - for the record.

All I'm asking is that you understand what's going on here. It's more than the idiotic basketball court. That's just a symptom. Relationships have been damaged.

Thanks for your time this morning.

Anastasia

[REDACTED]

Anastasia Mann

Chairman/CEO



8721 W Sunset Blvd, Ste 200
West Hollywood, CA 90069

anastasia@corniche.com

Main: (310) 854-6000

Fax: (310) 659-7441

CST: 2017857-10

Travel, Meeting & Entertainment Management

www.corniche.com • www.cornicheentertainment.com • www.anastasiasafrica.com • www.sofisticado.com

24 x 7 x 365 • After Hours Assistance • (800) 242-7274

Begin forwarded message:

From: Anastasia Mann
Date: July 17, 2016 at 1:21:53 PM PDT
To: Don Andres
Cc: Jeffery Masino
Subject: Re: FORC's MOU

Don, I am stunned that you wrote this to Jeff.

I received no fewer than three copies of your email claiming CPR was telling lies etc asking for emails to be sent to Jeff and even providing wording.

That's fine that you did that, but to accuse others of lies (unsubstantiated, I might add) and then blatantly lie yourself makes no sense and indicates exactly what you and I discussed: you are either naive or - as you so defiantly called CD4 & RAP - amateur.

This is where you are missing the boat. You are not transparent. You are being manipulative. I am furious that you sent this to Jeff. He is trying to do a very sincere and unbiased job. And he did a great job last week.

You had only TWO people show up in your behalf, one of whom was Josh. And the other was a lady who kept taking throughout (sitting next to me) complaining about every speaker and was nearly as bad as the one unfortunate last speaker on the CPR side. Name calling is not acceptable other than due to freedom of speech. She was very rude.

Now this. Have some manhood here, Don. What a shame that Josh stood up for you with such dignity and humility. He is a gentleman. Perhaps you should pass the gavel to him. He is a man of integrity. I am totally disappointed in your attempt to manipulate Jeff in this way.

I'm writing this to you as an individual - not as president of HHWNC. Although it may very well be my duty to share your actions with them as well as others within the city.

No one - no one - does something as blatantly ridiculous as this. Did you really think all those people you begged and tricked into writing letters would keep your correspondence private?

Good grief. Once again your lack of judgment is stunning. None of this would have ever happened if you had used proper, professional judgment and common sense. You have thoroughly tainted your organization. Shame on you.

Anastasia

name: Anastasia Mann

title: Chairman/CEO

email:

direct:

On Jul 17, 2016, at 10:00 AM, Don Andres

wrote:

Hi Jeff, thanks for your email – we appreciate your transparency.

But once again, a statement of the truth is in order.

It is important to clarify, FORC did NOT send out an email blast as suggested by Bob. The emails you received were from a few people that wished to express their opinion when they heard about the meeting, but could not attend. Most people in the community were not aware of the meeting.

As you know, we are a volunteer fundraising foundation, not a politically oriented organization. Our support



comes in many forms – but not from email blasts. Email blasts which cite mistruths and misleading information are inappropriate. I have asked CPR to tell us what concerns they have with the MOU, and have heard nothing. Instead CPR has chosen to do an email blast with their concern for the word “enhancement”. This is hardly a way to work together for the betterment of Runyon. FORC would be more than happy to clarify “enhancement” if indeed that is their concern with the MOU.

I am sending to all parties involved since that didn't seem to happen in the email below.

Thanks for conducting the meeting – I will be following-up with you on other FORC Foundation items.

Hope all is well,

Don

Don

From: Jeffery Masino
Sent: Saturday, July 16, 2016 12:50 PM
To: Don Andres
Subject: Fwd: FORC's MOU

Hi Don,

I mention you by name below and wanted to share this with you as well. As you can see I'm trying to keep up with responses as much as possible.

Best,

Jeff

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeffery
Date: July 15, 2016 at 10:08:23 PM PDT
To:
Cc:
Subject: Re: FORC's MOU

Bob,

Thank you for your kind comments and support. It was a collective effort - We all did a good job!

With Anastasia in copy on your request, I would reason that there is nothing inappropriate in providing a count. Currently, as of this evening, Friday, July 15th, the individual stakeholder emails requests for the termination of FORC's MOU are almost 2:1 to stakeholder emails in support of FORC's MOU remaining in place. If I count both your request and Don Andres's request 41 have requested FORC's MOU termination and 23 have supported a postponement on any decision /keeping FORC's MOU in place. I am not counting when the same person emails more than one time (which has happened in several instances).

In all fairness, I should share this information with Don as well.

Sincerely,

Jeff

Jeffery Masino

Parks and Open Space Chair



[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2016 11:35:00 AM
To: Jeffery Masino
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FORC's MOU

Jeff:

You did a great job in the meeting last night. Cheryl commented to me that even when the meeting was getting a bit raucous, you regained order with your calm demeanor and big smile. Good work.

The purpose of this email is to request an account of how many emails you received supporting FORC's MOU versus how many emails you received requesting termination of FORC's MOU. Prior to your meeting, CPR sent out an email blast asking its supporters to email you supporting the termination of the MOU. FORC also sent out an email blast asking its supporters to send you an email opposing termination of the MOU. While these are not "votes" this is a good way to measure community support of FORC versus community opposition to FORC.

If it is appropriate to disclose this information, please let me know the numbers.

Robe, [REDACTED]

Telephone
Facsimile

From: Catherine Landers catherine.landern@lacity.org
Subject: Fwd: FORC's MOU
Date: July 23, 2016 at 8:49 AM JST
To: Estevan Montemayor estevan.montemayor@lacity.org

Fyi

COUNCILMEMBER • DISTRICT 4
DAVID RYU
SERVING OUR NEIGHBORHOODS

Catherine Landers
Senior Field Deputy
[Los Angeles City Councilmember David Ryu](#)
Office: 323.957.6415
<http://www.davidryu.com/>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Anastasia Mann
Date: Fri, Jul 22, 2016 at 3:11 PM
Subject: Fwd: FORC's MOU
To: Catherine Landers <catherine.landern@lacity.org>

As a back up to what I said to you, here is the message I sent to Don as an individual- not on behalf of HHWNC, obviously. That's as outspoken as I have been. I've been attempting to broker peace, but here he went to far.

I put in writing what he said - for the record.

All I'm asking is that you understand what's going on here. It's more than the idiotic basketball court. That's just a symptom. Relationships have been damaged.

Thanks for your time this morning.

Anastasia

Anastasia Mann
Chairman/CEO

CORNICHE
GROUP

8721 W Sunset Blvd, Ste 200
West Hollywood, CA 90069

Main

Fax: 4

CST: 2017857-10

Travel, Meeting & Entertainment Management

www.corniche.com • www.cornicheentertainment.com • www.anastasiasafrica.com • www.sofisticado.com

24 x 7 x 365 • After Hours Assistance • (800) 242-7274

Begin forwarded message:

From: Anastasia
Date: July 17, 2016 at 1:21:53 PM PDT
To: Don
Cc: Jeffery
Subject: Re: FORC a.m.u.u

Don, I am stunned that you wrote this to Jeff.

I received no fewer than three copies of your email claiming CPR was telling lies etc asking for emails to be sent to Jeff and even providing wording.

That's fine that you did that, but to accuse others of lies (unsubstantiated, I might add) and then blatantly lie yourself makes no sense and indicates exactly what you and I discussed: you are either naive or - as you so defiantly called CD4 & RAP - amateur.

This is where you are missing the boat. You are not transparent. You are being manipulative. I am furious that you sent this to Jeff. He is trying to do a very sincere and unbiased job. And he did a great job last week.

You had only TWO people show up in your behalf, one of whom was Josh. And the other was a lady who kept taking throughout (sitting next to me) complaining about every speaker and was nearly as bad as the one unfortunate last speaker on the CPR side. Name calling is not acceptable other than due to freedom of speech. She was very rude.

Now this. Have some manhood here, Don. What a shame that Josh stood up for you with such dignity and humility. He is a gentleman. Perhaps you should pass the gavel to him. He is a man of integrity. I am totally disappointed in your attempt to manipulate Jeff in this way.

I'm writing this to you as an individual - not as president of HHWNC. Although it may very well be my duty to share your actions with them as well as others within the city.

No one - no one does something as blatantly ridiculous as this. Did you really think all those people you begged and tricked into writing letters would keep your correspondence private?

Good grief. Once again your lack of judgment is stunning. None of this would have ever happened if you had used proper, professional judgment and common sense. You have thoroughly tainted your organization. Shame on you.

Anastasia

name: Anastasia Mann
title: Chairman/CEO
ema
direct:

On Jul 17, 2016, at 10:00 AM, Don wrote:

Hi Jeff, thanks for your email – we appreciate your transparency.

But once again, a statement of the truth is in order.

It is important to clarify, FORC did NOT send out an email blast as suggested by Bob. The emails you received were from a few people that wished to express their opinion when they heard about the meeting, but could not attend. Most people in the community were not aware of the meeting.

As you know, we are a volunteer fundraising foundation, not a politically oriented organization. Our support comes in many forms – but not from email blasts. Email blasts which cite mistruths and misleading information are inappropriate. I have asked CPR to tell us what concerns they have with the MOU, and have heard nothing. Instead CPR has chosen to do an email blast with their concern for the word "enhancement". This is hardly a way to work together for the betterment of Runyon. FORC would be more than happy to clarify "enhancement" if indeed that is their concern with the MOU.

I am sending to all parties involved since that didn't seem to happen in the email below.

Thanks for conducting the meeting – I will be following-up with you on other FORC Foundation items.

1000

1000

1000

1000

1000

Hope all is well,

Don

Dor

From: Jeffery I
Sent: Saturday, July 16, 2016 12:50 PM
To: Don A
Subject: Fwd: FORC's MOU

Hi Don,

I mention you by name below and wanted to share this with you as well. As you can see I'm trying to keep up with responses as much as possible.

Best,

Jeff

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeffery I
Date: July 15, 2016 at 10:08:23 PM PDT
To:
Cc:
Subject: Re: FORC's MOU

Bob,

Thank you for your kind comments and support. It was a collective effort - We all did a good job!

With Anastasia in copy on your request, I would reason that there is nothing inappropriate in providing a count. Currently, as of this evening, Friday, July 15th, the individual stakeholder emails requests for the termination of FORC's MOU are almost 2:1 to stakeholder emails in support of FORC's MOU remaining in place. If I count both your request and Don Andres's request 41 have requested FORC's MOU termination and 23 have supported a postponement on any decision /keeping FORC's MOU in place. I am not counting when the same person emails more than one time (which has happened in several instances).

In all fairness, I should share this information with Don as well.

Sincerely,

Jeff

Jeffery Masino

Parks and Open Space Chair



From:
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2016 11:35:00 AM
To: Jeffery Masino
Cc:
Subject: FORC's MOU

Jeff:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

You did a great job in the meeting last night. Cheryl commented to me that even when the meeting was getting a bit raucous, you regained order with your calm demeanor and big smile. Good work.

The purpose of this email is to request an account of how many emails you received supporting FORC's MOU versus how many emails you received requesting termination of FORC's MOU. Prior to your meeting, CPR sent out an email blast asking its supporters to email you supporting the termination of the MOU. FORC also sent out an email blast asking its supporters to send you an email opposing termination of the MOU. While these are not "votes" this is a good way to measure community support of FORC versus community opposition to FORC.

If it is appropriate to disclose this information, please let me know the numbers.

Rober.

Facsimil

From: Catherine Landers catherine.landiers@lacity.org
Subject: Re: FORC's MOU
Date: July 23, 2016 at 9:56 AM JST
To: Anastasia Mann

Thanks Anastasia, it was good to chat this morning. Have a great weekend!

COUNCILMEMBER • DISTRICT 4
DAVID RYU
SERVING OUR NEIGHBORHOODS

Catherine Landers
Senior Field Deputy
Los Angeles City Councilmember David Ryu
Office: 323.957.6415
<http://www.davidryu.com/>

On Fri, Jul 22, 2016 at 3:11 PM, Anastasia Mann <anastasia@corniche.com> wrote:

As a back up to what I said to you, here is the message I sent to Don as an individual- not on behalf of HHWNC, obviously. That's as outspoken as I have been. I've been attempting to broker peace, but here he went to far.

I put in writing what he said - for the record.

All I'm asking is that you understand what's going on here. It's more than the idiotic basketball court. That's just a symptom. Relationships have been damaged.

Thanks for your time this morning.

Anastasia

Anastasia Mann
Chairman/CEO

CORNICHE
GROUP

8721 W Sunset Blvd, Ste 200
West Hollywood, CA 90069

Main
Fax

CSF: 2017857-10

Travel, Meeting & Entertainment Management

www.corniche.com • www.cornicheentertainment.com • www.anastasiasafrica.com • www.sofisticado.com

24 x 7 x 365 • After Hours Assistance

Begin forwarded message:

From: Anastasia M
Date: July 17, 2016 at 1:21:53 PM PDT
To: Don A
Cc: Jeffery
Subject: Re: FORC's MOU



Don, I am stunned that you wrote this to Jeff.

I received no fewer than three copies of your email claiming CPR was telling lies etc asking for emails to be sent to Jeff and even providing wording.

That's fine that you did that, but to accuse others of lies (unsubstantiated, I might add) and then blatantly lie yourself makes no sense and indicates exactly what you and I discussed: you are either naive or - as you so defiantly called CD4 & RAP - amateur.

This is where you are missing the boat. You are not transparent. You are being manipulative. I am furious that you sent this to Jeff. He is trying to do a very sincere and unbiased job. And he did a great job last week.

You had only TWO people show up in your behalf, one of whom was Josh. And the other was a lady who kept taking throughout (sitting next to me) complaining about every speaker and was nearly as bad as the one unfortunate last speaker on the CPR side. Name calling is not acceptable other than due to freedom of speech. She was very rude.

Now this. Have some manhood here, Don. What a shame that Josh stood up for you with such dignity and humility. He is a gentleman. Perhaps you should pass the gavel to him. He is a man of integrity. I am totally disappointed in your attempt to manipulate Jeff in this way.

I'm writing this to you as an individual - not as president of HHWNC. Although it may very well be my duty to share your actions with them as well as others within the city.

No one - no one does something as blatantly ridiculous as this. Did you really think all those people you begged and tricked into writing letters would keep your correspondence private?

Good grief. Once again your lack of judgment is stunning. None of this would have ever happened if you had used proper, professional judgment and common sense. You have thoroughly tainted your organization. Shame on you.

Anastasia

name: Anastasia Mann

title: Chairman/CEO

email:

direct:

On Jul 17, 2016, at 10:00 AM, Don Andres wrote:

Hi Jeff, thanks for your email – we appreciate your transparency.

But once again, a statement of the truth is in order.

It is important to clarify, FORC did NOT send out an email blast as suggested by Bob. The emails you received were from a few people that wished to express their opinion when they heard about the meeting, but could not attend. Most people in the community were not aware of the meeting.

As you know, we are a volunteer fundraising foundation, not a politically oriented organization. Our support comes in many forms – but not from email blasts. Email blasts which cite mistruths and misleading information are inappropriate. I have asked CPR to tell us what concerns they have with the MOU, and have heard nothing. Instead CPR has chosen to do an email blast with their concern for the word "enhancement". This is hardly a way to work together for the betterment of Runyon. FORC would be more than happy to clarify "enhancement" if indeed that is their concern with the MOU.

I am sending to all parties involved since that didn't seem to happen in the email below.

Thanks for conducting the meeting – I will be following-up with you on other FORC Foundation items.

Hope all is well,

Don

Don



From: Jeffery Masino
Sent: Saturday, July 16, 2016 12:50 PM
To: Don Andres
Subject: Fwd: FORC's MOU

Hi Don,

I mention you by name below and wanted to share this with you as well. As you can see I'm trying to keep up with responses as much as possible.

Best,

Jeff

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jeffery Masino
Date: Jul 15, 2016 at 10:02:22 PM EDT
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: FORC's MOU

Bob,

Thank you for your kind comments and support. It was a collective effort - We all did a good job!

With Anastasia in copy on your request, I would reason that there is nothing inappropriate in providing a count. Currently, as of this evening, Friday, July 15th, the individual stakeholder emails requests for the termination of FORC's MOU are almost 2:1 to stakeholder emails in support of FORC's MOU remaining in place. If I count both your request and Don Andres's request 41 have requested FORC's MOU termination and 23 have supported a postponement on any decision /keeping FORC's MOU in place. I am not counting when the same person emails more than one time (which has happened in several instances).

In all fairness, I should share this information with Don as well.

Sincerely,

Jeff

Jeffery Masino

Parks and Open Space Chair



From:
Sent: Friday, July 15, 2016 11:35:00 AM
To: Jeffery Masino
Cc:
Subject: FORC's MOU

Jeff:

You did a great job in the meeting last night. Cheryl commented to me that even when the meeting was getting a bit raucous, you regained order with your calm demeanor and big smile. Good work.

The purpose of this email is to request an account of how many emails you received supporting FORC's MOU versus how many emails you received requesting termination of FORC's MOU. Prior to your meeting, CPR sent out an email blast asking its supporters to email you supporting the termination of the MOU. FORC also sent out an email blast asking its supporters to send you an email opposing termination of the MOU. While these are not "votes" this is a good way to measure community support of FORC versus community opposition to FORC.

If it is appropriate to disclose this information, please let me know the numbers.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Robert M

Telephone
Facsimile

